## A Cognitive Linguistic Approach to Ma in Japanese Haiku

Rie Mukai Takaoka University of Law mukai@takaoka.ac.jp

Keywords: reference point ability, blending, modes of cognition, defamiliarization

Japanese haiku is often said to be the literature of *ma*. Although there are some studies on *ma* in Japanese haiku (see Miyakoda & Ishikawa (2015), Arima (2018)), their focus is mainly on the rhythm or beats in Japanese—the measurable *ma*. Rather, *ma* is a way of cognition created by *kire*—the cut in haiku. Yamanashi (2015) says that one of the basic function of *kireji* or cutting word *ya* is to specify the domain of the topic, and rhetorically cutting the stream of thought in the verse leads the reader to contemplate the relationship between the phrase marked by *ya* and the remainder of the verse. This, however, does not explain why *kire* leaves the reader with an allusive feeling, which can be called unmeasurable *ma*. In this presentation, I will describe, in terms of cognitive linguistics, how a reader conceptualizes haiku when he/she feels *ma*.

Haiku, consisting of only fifteen syllables, has two broad types: *Ichimotsujitate*, which features one scene, and *Toriawase*, which contrasts two scenes. I will describe, in terms of the reader's reference point ability, what kind of haiku is good by including *ma* in these two types and conclude that the conceptualizer can experience *ma* when he/she steps out of the dominion, which leads to defamiliarization, and gets back to the reference point. This is when the two dominions blend, and a sense of profoundness emerges in the reader.

As an example of *Toriawase*, consider the haiku in (1) given here. It was composed by Hakyo Ishida, one of the modern haiku poets.

(1) Onna ku to obi maki izuru sarusuberi Woman come CM belt tie go out crape myrtle (CM: complement marker)

Hasegawa (2012) partly modifies this haiku into the following ones to compare with the original.

(2) <i>Onna</i> Woman				(TP: topic marker)
(3) <i>Onna</i> Woman			<i>kurage</i> jellyfish	

The haiku in (2) is categorized as *Tsukisugi*—the too close one—because we can easily identify a causal relationship, that is, tying an *obi* belt leading to heat. It's prosaic and there is no *ma* in it. On the other hand, the haiku in (3) is of the type *Hanaresugi*—the one too far apart. We cannot imagine the scene because the tying of an *obi* belt to meet a woman and (the mention of) a jellyfish are isolated events.

By describing the above haiku examples in terms of the reader's reference point ability, we can see that only when we conceptualize *sarusuberi* in the haiku in (1), we can step out of the dominion (this is *kire*), and the word in turn becomes a reference point. We can now find *onna ku to obi maki izuru* in its dominion, and the dominions blend and become one. This is when the conceptualizer feels *ma* in the haiku, and this kind of haiku makes the readers moved.

## References

Arima, Michiko (2018). *Kigō ron kara mita haiku* [Haiku in terms of semiotics]. Tokyo: Kaitakusha. Hasegawa, Kai (2012). *Ichiokunin no kire nyumon* [Introduction of *kire* to the Japanese people]. Tokyo: Kadokawa Gakugei Publishers.

- Miyakoda, Haruko, and Yukiko Ishikawa (2015). "Goro no yosa" to "ma" no kankei ni tsuite: Haiku ni kansuru ichi kōsatsu [On the relationship between euphony and ma: A study of haiku]. In Tetsuo Nishihara et al. (Eds.). Gendai no keitairon to onseigaku/on'inron no shiten to ronten [The viewpoints and points at issue of morphology and phonetics/phonology today].175-191. Tokyo: Kaitakusha.
- Yamanashi, Masa-aki (2015). Aspects of reference point phenomena in natural language, *Journal* of Cognitive Linguistics, 1, 22-43.